

Appl. No. : 10/039,215
Filed : January 3, 2002

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed December 7, 2004, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-6, 8-18, 20-30, 32-44 and 46-47 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and 35 U.S.C. § 103 in light of the Miki et al. reference (U.S. Patent No. 6,309,894), the Moise et al. reference (U.S. Patent No. 6,211,035), the Shih et al. reference (U.S. Patent No. 6,103,567) or some combination thereof. The Examiner did, however, indicate that Claim 7, 19, 31 and 45 were objected to as being depended upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. By this paper, the Applicant has amended Claims 1, 10, 22 and 34 to incorporate the limitations of Claims 7, 19, 31, and 45. Hence, the Applicant believes the above-captioned application is condition for allowance and requests the prompt allowance of the same. Should there be any impediment to the prompt allowance of this application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to call the undersigned at the number shown below.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP

Dated: 5/9/05

By: 

Michael H. Trenholm
Registration No. 37,743
Attorney of Record
Customer No. 20,995
(951) 781-9231

1703340:lw
050905